

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,018		04/22/2002	Karen Briley-Saebo	NIDN-10427 35	
36335	7590	04/21/2006		EXAMINER	
GE HEAL		E, INC.	SMITH, RUTH S		
IP DEPART 101 CARNI		NTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETO	PRINCETON, NJ 08540-6231				
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/018,018	BRILEY-SAEBO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ruth S. Smith	3737				
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply</li> </ul>	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	-			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communica ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	<u> March 2006</u> .		•			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 14-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrauclaim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 14-30 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification to the specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.12				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	<b>;</b>			
Attachmer	nt(s)	·					
1) 🔯 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3737

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 24, 2006 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

Claims 14-30 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 14, line 5, "the blood pool contrast agent" lacks antecedent basis. In claim 27, it appears that "and" on line 2 should be "or" in that only one procedure can be used at a time. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The meaning of claim 28 is unclear. It is unclear as to how one uses both small flip angles and large flip angles to obtain the image.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-15, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuhn. The claims are directly readable on Kuhn which discloses MR imaging whereby

a catheter filled with a blood pool contrast agent (column 6, lines 20-35) is placed into the vasculature of a patient and an MR image of at least a part of the body containing the catheter is generated. When the catheter reaches it desired location the contrast agent is then injected into the arteries surrounding the catheter and an image is obtained. The image of the contrast agent surrounding the catheter tip would inherently allow the catheter to be visualized due to its lack of signal in the image obtained. With respect to claim 25, this limitation is inherent in the method disclosed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhn in view of Gunther et al. Kuhn discloses MR imaging whereby a catheter filled with a blood pool contrast agent (column 6, lines 20-35) is placed into the vasculature of a patient and an MR image of at least a part of the body containing the catheter is generated. When the catheter reaches it desired location the contrast agent is then injected into the arteries surrounding the catheter and an image is obtained. The image of the contrast agent surrounding the catheter tip would inherently allow the catheter to be visualized due to its lack of signal in the image obtained. Kuhn fails to specifically disclose the blood pool contrast agents used. Gunther et al disclose MR blood pool contrast agents. The contrast agents are as set forth in claims 16-19. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Kuhn such that the blood pool contrast agents used are those disclosed by Gunther et al. Such a modification merely involves the selection of a known type of blood pool contrast agent for those used in the method of Kuhn. With respect to claims 20-24, Gunther et al discloses the use of these

Art Unit: 3737

materials and positive and negative contrast agents which use differences in T1 and T2 as set forth.

Page 4

Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhn in view of Ericcson et al. Kuhn discloses MR imaging whereby a catheter filled with a blood pool contrast agent (column 6, lines 20-35) is placed into the vasculature of a patient and an MR image of at least a part of the body containing the catheter is generated. When the catheter reaches it desired location the contrast agent is then injected into the arteries surrounding the catheter and an image is obtained. The image of the contrast agent surrounding the catheter tip would inherently allow the catheter to be visualized due to its lack of signal in the image obtained. Kuhn fails to specifically disclose the specific types of imaging sequences set forth. The use of the specific types of imaging sequences set forth in claims 26-27 are old and well known and taught for example by Ericcson et al. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Kuhn such that the imaging sequences used are as taught by Ericcson et al. Such a modification merely involves the selection of a known type of imaging sequences used in MR contrast enhanced imaging.

Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhn in view of Prince ('619) and Filler et al. Kuhn discloses MR imaging whereby a catheter filled with a blood pool contrast agent (column 6, lines 20-35) is placed into the vasculature of a patient and an MR image of at least a part of the body containing the catheter is generated. When the catheter reaches it desired location the contrast agent is then injected into the arteries surrounding the catheter and an image is obtained. The image of the contrast agent surrounding the catheter tip would inherently allow the catheter to be visualized due to its lack of signal in the image obtained. Kuhn fails to specifically disclose the specific types of contrast agent and imaging parameters. Prince discloses MR imaging using a blood pool contrast agent and small flip angles combined with short echoes as well as sequences that employ larger flip angles and longer echo times. Filler et al disclose the use of a blood pool contrast agent that

Art Unit: 3737

includes an iron oxide. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Kuhn such that the contrast agent includes iron oxide and the flip angles are between 20 and 90 degrees with echo times being less than 10 ms. Such a modification merely involves the selection of known types of blood pool contrast agents and known imaging sequences used in contrast enhanced MR imaging procedures.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4745. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth S. Smith Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3737